Angioplasty Summit TCTAP2010 4/29/2010

#5 Atherosclerosis "From Basic to Translational Research"

Angiogenic and Cardioprotective Therapy for PAD and MI

Issei Komuro, M.D. Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine Suita, Japan

#### Angiogenic Therapy for PAD

# Arteriosclerosis Obliterance (ASO)



etiology – atherosclerosis more than 2% in people older than 65 yr ~1/2 million in Japan



# Buerger Disease (TAO)



TAO

etiology is unknown male, smoking several thousands patients in Japan

normal



### Therapeutic Angiogenesis

#### Table 2 Phase 2 and 3 angiogenesis trials

Trial	Therapeutic agent	Disease target	n	Endpoint	Results <sup>a</sup>	Reference
VIVA trial	Recombinant VEGF protein	CHD	178	ETT <sup>b</sup> at 60 d	Negative	97
FIRST trial	Recombinant FGF-2 protein	CHD	337	ETT at 90 d	Negative	98
TRAFFIC trial	Recombinant FGF-2 protein	PAOD	190	ETT at 90 d	Positive	99
GM-CSF trial	Recombinant GM-CSF protein	CHD	21	Invasive collateral flow	Positive	100
				index at 2 weeks		
AGENT trial	Adenovirus-FGF-4	CHD	79	ETT at 4 weeks	Positivec	101
VEGF peripheral vascular	Adenovirus-VEGF <sub>165</sub>	PAOD	54	Increased vascularity in	Positive	102
disease trial	Plasmid/liposome VEGF165			angiography at 3 months		
KAT trial	Adenovirus-VEGF <sub>165</sub>	CHD	103	Improved myocardial	Positive (adenovirus group only)	103
	Plasmid/liposomeVEGF165			perfusion at 6 months		
REVASC trial (Biobypass-CAD)	Adenovirus-VEGF121	CHD	67	Time to 1 mm ST segment	Positive	104
				depression on ETT at 26 weeks		
RAVE trial (Biobypass-PAD)	Adenovirus VEGF121	PAOD	105	Peak walking time at 12 weeks	Negative	105
Euroinject One Trial	Plasmid VEGF165	CHD	74	Improved myocardial	Negatived	106
				perfusion at 3 months	onder Practices	

CHD, coronary heart disease; PAOD, peripheral vascular disease. <sup>a</sup>Efficacy measured as the study protocol-defined primary or secondary endpoint. <sup>b</sup>ETT, exercise tolerance test. <sup>c</sup>Only one dose-group showed positive results. <sup>d</sup>Positive results were obtained after excluding results from two of the six study centers where patient recruitment might have been a confounding issue.

# Endothelial progenitor cells (EPC)



EPC is produced in bone marrow

Asahara et al Science 1997

### Results of clinical trial using BM-MNC



BM-MNC implantation improves ABI, pain free walking distance, tissue O2 and ulcers.



Tateishi-Y E et al. Lancet 2002



# Neovascular formation is induced by PB-MNC as well as BM-MNC



### Collect PB-MNC by cell sorter



PB-MNC therapy is better than BM-MNC therapy

1 less risk 1/2 ASO Pts have CAD

no general anesthesia no bone marrow aspiration

- 2 no anemia 800ml BM vs 10ml blood
- 3 less expensive \$5000 vs \$0

## Collect PB-MNC by centrifugation



#### Injection of PB-MNC into ischemic skeletal muscle



# Casel 70F, ASO

Before



After PB-MNC implant



Minamino et al. Lancet 2002







After Implant of PB-MNC



Minamino et al. Lancet 2002







O

#### EPC may not be necessary for BM-MNC-induced neovascular formation



Division of Cardiovascular Science and Medicine Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine



# Regenerated skeletal muscle produces IL-1 $\beta$ and VEGF

Immunohistochemistry

IL-1beta

VEGF



Scale bar: 100µm



AJP 2003,163:1417

2010/4/29

Tateno et al. Circ Res 2004



Division of Cardiovascular Science and Medicine Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine

19

#### **PB-MNC** activate satellite cells

Immunohistochemistry, N-CAM



2010/4/29

Tateno et al. Circ Res 2004



Division of Cardiovascular Science and Medicine Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine

20

# Mononuclear cells stimulate expression of growth factors in skeletal muscle

Protection assay of myotube RNA



# Skeletal muscle regeneration is necessary for PB-MNC-induced angiogenesis





#### Evaluation of limb ischemia by <sup>201</sup>TICI

before after 2010/4/29

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Cardiac ischemia is improved by implantation of PB-MNCs into ischemic limbs

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Cardiac ischemia is improved by implantation of PB-MNCs into ischemic limbs

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

# G-CSF Therapy for Myocardial Infarction

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Mechanisms of G-CSF-induced prevention of LV remodeling after MI

G-CSF prevents LV remodeling after MI by protecting CMs not by inducing regeneration.

G-CSF

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

BMC

#### Effects of G-CSF on swine hearts after MI

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

2010/4/29

#### Trial profile

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

LAD=left anterior descending coronary artery; PCI=percutaneous coronary intervention; SPECT= single-photon emission computed tomography; UAP=unstable angina pectoris; CAG=coronary angiography

# Effects of G-CSF on myocardial perfusion after AMI (<sup>99m</sup>Tc-tetrofosmin SPECT)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Ischemic area

comparison between 4 days and 6 months after MI

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Changes of EF

#### comparison between 4 days and 6 months after MI

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Mechanisms of Epo-induced cardioprotection

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Acknowlegdment

#### Chiba University Komuro's Lab

Angiogenesis T Minamino K Tateno J-i Nishi M Orimo T Kunieda

G-CSF N Sano M Harada H Myauchi H Takano H Toko Y Kuwabara M Otsuka K Ueda

Y Qin H Hasegawa K Iwanaga Y Niitsuma

CSC

S Okada

T Nagai K Matsuur H Wada T Oyama Y Mikami H Takahas CM differentiation I Shiojima W Zhu H Ikeda A Naito AT1/Cardiac develop H Akazawa N Yasuda K Itoh R Yamamoto DN STAT3 TG Osaka Univ K Yamauchi-Takihara K Kunisada  $IL-1\beta KO$ Univ of Tokyo **Y** Iwakura