Behind Closed Doors: Functions of the Data Safety Monitoring Board

Roxana Mehran, MD

Columbia University Medical Center Cardiovascular Research Foundation





I NewYork-Presbyterian
The University Hospital of Columbia and Cornel

Disclosures: Roxana Mehran

Clinical Research Support: Sanofi/Aventis, Bracco

Educational Support: The Medicines Company, Boston Scientific, Abbott, Medtronic, and Cordis

Consultant/Honoraria: TMC, BSC, Abbott, Medtronic, Sanofi/Aventis, Lilly/Diachi Sankyo, Astra Zeneca, Cordis, Therox, Bracco, Guerbert, Regado





History of DSMB

- First used for large randomized multicenter trials in 1960s that were federally funded in the U.S.
- Recognition that interim monitoring of accumulating study data was essential to ensure the ongoing safety of participants
- Involvement of expert advisors external to trial would address problems in an unbiased way





Functions of DSMB

- Reviews the accumulating data from clinical trial on an ongoing basis
- Advises sponsor regarding continuing safety of trial subjects
- Advises sponsor regarding continuing validity and scientific merit of the trial





The University Hospital of Columbia and Cornel

Determining Need for DSMB

- What is the risk to trial participants?
 - An interim analysis of a study endpoint could be so highly favorable or unfavorable that study termination would be required
 - Reasons exist for a safety concern
 - Fragile population
 - Large, long duration and multicenter trial





Determining Need for DSMB (2)

- Is DSMB review practical?
 - Short duration of trial would limit the meaningful impact of DSMB
 - Limited value for early studies (Phase I or early Phase 2) where accumulating results are known to sponsor and statistical interpretation of interim data is less relevant





Determining Need for DSMB (3)

- Will DSMB help assure scientific validity of the trial?
 - Changes over time in understanding of disease, affected population and standard of care during long duration can lead to modifications to trial – best if recommended by unbiased group
 - Accumulating event rates may suggest need for modifications





DSMB Relation to Other Groups

- IRBs / Ethics Committees
- Clinical Trial Steering Committee
- Endpoint Adjudication Committee or Clinical Events Committee (CEC)
- Site / Clinical Monitoring
- Investigators
- Sponsor





DSMB Composition

- Clinicians with expertise in relevant clinical specialty
- Statistician familiar with statistical methods for clinical trials and sequential analysis of data
- Others might include epidemiologist, ethicist, pharmacologist
- No conflicts of interest (financial, intellectual, influence on trial)





DSMB Charter

- Procedural issues
 - Meeting schedule, format, structure, quorum, minutes
 - Report formats and codes
 - Statistical methods

 Group sequential methods with interim analyses defined by time intervals or amount of information

Stopping rules





DSMB Responsibilities

- Interim monitoring
 Monitoring for effectiveness
 - Monitoring for safety
 - Monitoring study conduct
 - Consideration of external data
- Making recommendations
- Maintaining meeting records





Independence of DSMB

- Independence from sponsor
 - DSMB remains objective
 - Increases credibility of trial's conclusions
 - Sponsor maintains ability to make trial modifications in response to external data without introducing bias





Independence of DSMB (2)

- Sponsor interaction with DSMB
 - "Open" part of meeting to review enrollment, compliance, event rates in aggregate as well as sponsor goals, plans, and resources
 - DSMB can address questions from interim comparative data review to sponsor





The University Hospital of Columbia and Cornel

Independence of DSMB (3)

- Independence of statistician
 - Primary trial statistician has most knowledge about trial but doing interim analysis and participating in DSMB would compromise objectivity of DSMB as well as statistician's objectivity with ongoing study management
 - Recommendation is to employ a contractor statistician





- Prior to study start
 - Develop list of personnel
 - Their roles
 - Access rights to study information
 - When they have access to information
 - Develop rules for unblinding
 - When it should occur
 - Who will have access to the unblinded information





During the study

CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH

OUNDATI

- Use different site personnel (Investigator and Site Coordinator) to perform the procedure vs. the follow-up so the treatment will remain blinded during the follow-up
- Develop a script for follow-up personnel to use in obtaining information from patients
- Train follow-up personnel to avoid sections of the patient's record that would cause unblinding
- Control communication channels
 - Who can send and/or receive information
 - By what methods (phone, email, reports, letters) and password protection
 - What information can be provided by each method



Columbia University Medical Center

- Managing data
 - Limit access to data
 - Develop list of assigned personnel and their roles
 - Limit printing of data including where to print
 - Shred printed items unless required for recordkeeping
 - do not place in trash (too easy for others to pick up and read)
 - Provide isolated area for data review, analysis, data entry, source document collection for safety monitoring
 - Use computer screen shades when working in open areas





Safety monitoring

- Limit access to incoming source documentation
- Redact key identifiers (patient information, product usage)





NewYork-Presbyterian
The University Hospital of Columbia and Cornell

Reference:

Guidance for Clinical Trial Sponsors: Establishment and Operation of Clinical Trial Data Monitoring Committees US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) OMB Control No. 0910-0581 (March 2006)





J NewYork-Presbyterian The University Hospital of Columbia and Cornel