Transcatheter closure of unroofed coronary sinus defect

Jou-Kou Wang, MD Department of Pediatrics, National Taiwan University Hospital TCTAP 2015, April 30



Unroofed CS



Unroofed coronary sinus defect

A defect on the roof of coronary sinus, allowing communication between LA and RA

Left-to-right shunt at atrial level



Morphological types of unroofed coronary sinus

Type I: completely unroofed CS with LSVC

Type II: completely unroofed CS without LSVC

Type III: partially unroofed mid-portion of CS

Type IV: partially unroofed terminal portion of CS

Difficulties encountered in CS management

precordial echo frequently inadequate to make the diagnosis

 transcatheter closure:very rare reports technical aspects not well described,
Contraindication for catheter closure in AHA guidelines circulation2011;123:2607

TEE monitoring of CS defect or ostium closure

TEE provides better images of CS defect than TTE

The device position can be well delineated with TEE

CS blood flow return can be traced

TEE images of unroofed CS





Complete form unroofed CS defect



Procedures of transcatheter closure of unroofed CS (I)

hemodynamics & angiograms

G/A & TEE images

RAO view





Mid-portion unroofed CS



Procedures of transcatheter closure of unroofed CS (II)

Balloon sizing?

Select a device within 2 mm larger

deploy the device at CS ostium or defect

TEE/ angio check position

Balloon sizing



Balloon sizing



Balloon sizing mid-portion CS defect

Lossy compression - not intended for diagnosis



Deploying device in defect



Post deployment



Post deployment angio



Complete form defect closure



Deploy the device at CS ostium vs in the defect

which is better? theoretically close the defect is better

⊘ presence of PLSVC
→ deploy at the defect

 But, "terminal portion defect" without PLSVC, no rim toward CS ostium
>> close the CS ostium

Deploy the device at CS ostium vs in the defect

Deploy a covered stent to close the defect

Deploy device in the defect is anatomical as well as physiological closure, especially, PLSVC
Closure of CS ostium >> drain CS venous return to LA

Our experiences (I)

12 patients (7M, 5F) age 5-61 years, median 39 years

 \bigcirc Qp/Qs 2.4 ± 1

mean PASP 35 ± 19 (21-77)mmHg

2 complete form, others partial form

balloon sizing n= 10, no sizing n= 2

Our experiences (II)

Deploy at CS ostium in 8, at defect in 3, failure in 1(large defect with extension to primum type) Device size (ASO, occlutech) 21 ± 3.6 mm (16-28 mm) 4 required 1-2 size larger device because of failures

Mid-portion CS defect



Unroofed CS s/p CS ostium closure



Terminal portion CS defect



Unroofed CS defect s/p closure



Mid-portion CS defect cloure check venous return of coronary veins



Complete form CS defect closure



3-D images of CS defect closure





CT (MRI) images

as a tool for palnning the procedure

F/u the position of the device

to detect the presence of CS flow obstruction



CT image CS closure



Unroofed CS defect s/p closure CS return patent

Ao LA RA CS Ao

pre

post



Device within the defect



Blloon sizing for CS defect

Two waist can be observed sometimes → defect & ostium of CS

In 4 patients, a larger size device was required. This can be explained by the fact that CS ostium instead of defect was occluded



Disadvantages of closing CS ostium

redirecting CS blood flow to LA

obstruction in CS blood flow return

conduction system

contradicted when PLSVC is present

Conclusions

Most unroofed CS defect can be managed with transcatheter technique

close the defect or CS?

Terminal portion defect



Complete form unroofed CS defect



Device deployment

In the defect ?At the coronary sinus ostium ?





