

## **Change of concept**

#### Primary vs. secondary prevention







• Diagnosed CHD

• Vascular disease in noncoronary vascular beds (symptomatic carotid disease, aortic aneurysm, peripheral arterial disease)

• Diabetes

CHD ; coronary heart disease



# 5 Major Risks (NCEP-III; 2002)

Major Risk Factors That Modify LDL Goals \* (Exclusive of LDL Cholesterol)

- Cigarette smoking
- Hypertension

(blood pressure  $\geq$  140/90 mmHg or on antihypertensive medication)

Low HDL cholesterol

(< 40 mg/dL)†

- Family history of premature CHD
  - (CHD in male first-degree relative < 55 years
  - ; CHD in female first-degree relative < 65 years)
- Age (men  $\geq$  45 years; women  $\geq$  55 years)

\***Diabetes** is regarded as a coronary heart disease (CHD) risk equivalent. †**HDL cholesterol**  $\geq$  60 mg/dL counts as a "negative" risk factor; its pres ence removes 1 risk factor from the total count.

### **Emerging Risks**

Metabolic syndrome Inflammation











# Statin Pyramid

ey Statin Trials and Spectrum of Ris

4\$ CHD/ LIPID Increasing absolute CHD risk CARE ASCOT-LLA WOSCOPS AFCAPS/TexCAPS

 CHD/high cholesterol
 CHD/average to high cholesterol
 CHD\*/average to high cholesterol
 CHD/average cholesterol
 Some patients with CHD/ average cholesterol
 No MI/high cholesterol
 No CHD/average cholesterol

\*CHD or CHD risk equivalent, e.g. diabetes





## CARD Study ; diabetes

2838 with NIDDM 40-75 years atorvastatin 10 mg/day vs. placebo for 4 years LDL-C reduction by 40 % in atorvastatin group

	No. of patie with an even	ents t (%)			
	Placebo	Atorvastatin 10 mg	Hazard ratio	o (95% CI)	P value
Primary end point	127 (9.0%)	83 (5.8%)		0.63 (0.48-0.83)	0.001
Acute coronary events	77 (5.5%)	51 (3.6%)		0.64 (0.45-0.91)	
Coronary revascularization	34 (2.4%)	24 (1.7%)	-0	0.69 (0.41-1.16)	
Stroke	39 (2.8%)	21 (1.5%)		0.52 (0.31-0.89)	
Secondary end point					0.050
Death from any cause	82 (5.8%)	61 (4.3%)		0.73 (0.52-1.01)	0.059
Any acute CVD event	189 (13.4%)	134 (9.4%)		0.68 (0.55-0.85)	0.001

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2

Note: Only the first acute coronary event, revascularization, or stroke is included in the primary end point. Symbol size is proportional to amount of statistical information.

CARDS=Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study.

Colhoun HM et al. Lancet. 2004;364:685-696.

# CARDS: Effect of Treatment on Primary End Point by Lipid Level

No. of patients with

	an eve	nt (%)		
Median baseline lipids	Placebo	Atorvastatin	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value
LDL-C (mg/dL)				
≥120	66 (9.5%)	44 (6.1%)	0.62 (0.43-0.91	D 🕴
<120	61 (8.5%)	39 (5.6%)	0.63 (0.42-0.94	4) 0.96
HDL-C (mg/dL)				
≥54	62 (8.5%)	36 (5.2%)	0.59 (0.39-0.89	a) 🕴
<54	65 (9.6%)	47 (6.4%)	0.66 (0.45-0.95	5) 0.70
TG (mg/dL)				
≥151	67 (9.6%)	40 (5.5%)	0.56 (0.38-0.82	2)
<151	60 (8.4%)	43 (6.1%)		5) 0.40
TC (ma/dL)				
≥209	71 (10.1%)	44 (6.2%)	0.59 (0.41-0.86	5)
<209	56 (7.9%)	39 (5.5%)	0.67 (0.45-1.01	l) 0.67
		0.2	0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2	
Symbol size is proportion:	al to amount of s	tatistical informati	on	
P values are for test of he	terogeneity.			
CARDS=Collaborative Ato	rvastatin Diabete	es Study.		
			Colhoun HM et al. <i>Lan<u>cet</u>.</i> 2004	;364:685-696.



#### **Baseline Characteristics**

	Total Population (n = 9,795)
Male/Female, %	62.7/37.3
No Prior CVD, %	78.3
Diabetes management with diet plus one oral hypoglycemic agent %	59.5
Median duration of diabetes, years	5
Median HbA1c, %	6.9
Diabetic complications	
Retinopathy, %	8.3
Nephropathy, %	2.8
Lipid parameters, mg/dl	
TC (mean)	194
LDL-C (mean)	119
HDL-C (mean)	42
TG (median)	153
Dyslipidemic*, %	37
*TG > 150  mg/dL and HDL < 40  mg/dL for men or < 50  mg/dL	for women





## **Benefit on the Primary End Point**

11%	(-5 to 25)	0.16
19%	(4 to 32)	0.01
11%	(1 to 20)	0.035
15%	(5 to 24)	0.004
ng for on	-study statin use	
	11% 19% 11% 15% ng for on	11% (-5 to 25) 19% (4 to 32) 11% (1 to 20) 15% (5 to 24) ng for on-study statin use





## **ASCOT-LLA**; hypertension

19342 with hypertension with at least 3 other RFs 40 - 79 yrs, LDL-C 132 mg/dl Atorvastatin 10 mg, for 3.3 yrs – LDL-C reduction; 29 % 42 mg/dl

- Benefits reducing
  - Stroke by 27 %

Total cardiovascular events by 21 %

Total coronary events by 29 %











#### ATP-III update (2004) Modified LDL Goal ; absolute LDL-C levels

- High risk patients ;
  - <100 mg/dl as a 'minimal' goal with 'standard' statin dose
- **"Very high"** risk patients ;
  <70 mg/dl is favored (and CRP <2 mg/L)</p>
  - very high ; <u>CVD with</u>
    - 1. multiple RFs (esp. DM)
    - 2. poorly controlled RFs (esp. smoking)
    - 3. multiple factors of the Metabolic syndrome

(high TG  $\geq$  200 plus nonHDL-C  $\geq$  130 with low HDL-C  $\leq$  40)

4. with ACS







## More High Risks ?

#### **GALAXY** outcome trials

#### STUDY **OVFRVIEW** A long-term, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to e valuate the effects of CRESTOR 10mg on survival and major cardiov ascular events in 2775 subjects with end-stage renal dise AURORA ase on chronic haemodialysis<sup>1</sup> A long-term, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to a ssess CRESTOR 20mg in the primary prevention of cardiovascular e vents in 15000 subjects with **low LDL-C levels and ele** JUPITER vated levels of C-reactive protein (CRP)<sup>2</sup> A long-term, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to e valuate CRESTOR 10mg on cardiovascular mortality and morbidity a nd overall survival in 5016 patients with **<u>chronic symptom</u>** atic systolic heart failure (NYHA II-IV) of CORONA ischaemic aetiology receiving standard treatment

1. Fellström B et al. Curr Control Trials Cardiovasc Med 2005;6:9;e-pub ahead of print. 2. Ridker P. Circulation 2003;108:2292-2297



Lowering LDL- Not only how low, But how long? Brown MS and Goldstein JL Science 2006,311:1721 Statins; lowering LDL–C by 80 mg/dl ⊕ reducing heart attack only by 40% lowering LDL–C by only 20 mg/dl reducing heart attack by 80% - Time really matters.

# High Risk ?

Lower is Better Earlier is Better

## Low Risk Abandoned ? No

**MEGA** study

Low dose statin to Low risk patients



Relatively low-risk Majority of study st Baseline LDL-C ; 1 LDL-C reduction 1	<b>EGA</b> Japanese pe ubjects ; wor 56 mg/dl HE 8 % vs. 3 %	<b>Study</b> opulation men (68%) DL-C ; 57 mg/dl	
	E	End Points At 5-ye (35,962 person-yr	ear s)
	HR	Risk Reduction	P-value
CHD	0.70	30%	0.03
CHD + Cerebral Infarction	0.66	34%	0.003
Stroke	0.65	35%	0.03
Total Mortality	0.68	32%	0.05

# Offense makes the game



#### Example of regression of atherosclerosis (ASTEROID, measured by IVUS)







#### **Atherosclerosis Regression Studies** ASTEROID REVERSAL Atorva 80 mg/d Rosuva 40 mg/d ➡ For 1.6 yrs For 2 yrs ⊕ Basal LDLc 150 130 mg/dl 60-70 mg/dl ⊕ CRP down by 30 % HDL up by 15 %





#### **ORION** – a 2-year study



		Combined	Low-dose rosuvastatin	High-dose rosuvastatin
T2W	% LRNC			
L COMPANY	n h	18	8	10
	Baseline End of study	$10.7 \pm 2.5$	$10.0 \pm 5.3$	$11.3 \pm 2.0$
	End of study	8.0 ± 2.5	-100/-467+	-190/-370
ne1	% change	t ±8.1	16.2	± 7.5
	95% CI	-60.3 to	-81.5 to 16.9§	-56.8 to
		-16.7		-10.8
	P‡	.005	.1	.014
	% Calcification	1	,	
	n Develier	14	6	8
	End of study	$4.0 \pm 1.2$ $4.1 \pm 1.1$	$4.0 \pm 2.3$ $3.9 \pm 2.2$	$3.0 \pm 1.3$ $4.3 \pm 1.1$
	Median/mean	0.7/32.4 ±	10.6/6.8 ±	16.7/56.1 ±
e2 +	% change	† 11.8	21.5	13.6
	95% CI §	-20.8 to	-68.3 to 281.5	-18.7 to 235.
<b>1</b>		130.3	-	
	P‡	.3	.9	.2
	% Eibrous fiss	10		
	n	33	13	20
	Baseline	92.4 ± 1.9	91.7 ± 3.9	92.9 ± 1.9
and services to	End of study	93.9 ± 1.7	93.3 ± 3.6	94.2 ± 1.7
	Median/mear	0.0/1.8 ± 0.7	0.0/2.2 ± 1.0	0.0/1.6 ± 1.1
	% change	0 2 5 5	01668	161083
CONTRACTOR OF	93% CI	0.2-3.5	-0.1 10 0.0	-1.0100.3

## Summary – statin trials

Identification of high risk Diabetes ; CARDS, FIELD Hypertension ; ASCOT-LLA Inflammation ; **JUPITER** ESRD? ; AURORA CHF ? ;

CORONA

New classification ; 'Very' high risk MIRACL - PROVE-IT - TNT - IDEAL

Statin effect in low risk MEGA

> Beyond prevention ; plaque regression/stabilization REVERSAL ASTEROID ORION









## Conclusion

- Statin treatment shows benefits in high- and very high risk patients regardless basal LDL cholesterol levels
- Ultimate goal of LDL lowering management to those high-risk group is to regress and stabilize the atherosclerotic plaque
- More precise risk stratification is needed to find high- and very high- risk patients ; ex; Metabolic syndrome ?

More evidence is needed to introduce combination with stain treatment in mixed dyslipidemia