Novel HDL Targeted Therapies: The Search Continues

Assoc. Prof. K.Kostner, Univ. of Qld, Brisbane



COURAGE Trial

1 Year Later

LDL Target depends on your level of Risk



How low can we go? Cholesterol and TG can be reduced by 99%









Kostner K et al. JCA. 2005 Oct;20(3):143-53.



Benefit of intensive LDL-C lowering: Accumulating evidence



LaRosa JC et al. N Engl J Med. 2005;352.



TNT:MCVE Frequency by HDL level in group with LDL-C < 70 mg/dL (Adjusted for baseline LDL)



Primary (Genetic) Causes of Low HDL-C

- ApoA-I
 - Complete apoA-I deficiency
 - ApoA-I mutations (eg, ApoA-I_{Milano})
- LCAT
 - Complete LCAT deficiency
 - Partial LCAT deficiency (fish-eye disease)
- ABC1
 - Tangier disease
 - Homozygous
 - Heterozygous
 - Familial hypoalphalipoproteinemia
 - Familial combined hyperlipidemia with low HDL-C
 - Metabolic syndrome



STRATEGIES FOR RAISING HDL IN HUMANS

Lifestyle

- Weight reduction
- Increased physical activity
- Stop smoking
- ??? alcohol

Drugs







	Control	Pre CR	CR 1 yr
BMI	25.9	24.5 📂	19.6
LDL	127(3.2)	122 📩	86(2.2)
HDL	48(1.2)	43	63(1.6)
Tg	147	149 🗖	48
SBP	129	132 🗖	99
DBP	79	80 🗖	61
hsCRP	1.6	1.7 🗖	0.3

CD Advance Data No.347 + October 27,2004

PNAS April 27, 2004;101:6659

Effects of Lipid-Modifying Drugs on HDL-C Levels

Niacin \uparrow 15–35%Fibrates \uparrow 10–15%Estrogens \uparrow 10–15%Statins \uparrow 5–10%

Belalcazar LM et al. Progr Cardiovasc Dis 1998;41:151–174

Extended-Release Niacin: The Lipid Poly Pill



A Working Hypothesis for Niacin-Induced **HDL Elevation**



Niacin and Atherosclerosis: A Positive Effect on Clinical Outcomes

	-	Number of participants		Change in lipids in treatment group				
Trial (reference)	Treatment (mean dose)	Treatment	Placebo	T-C	TG	LDL-C	HDL-C	Findings
CLAS [17]	Niacin (4.3 g/day) + colestipol (30 g/day)	80	82	↓26%	↓ 21 %	↓43%	†37%	Significant angiographic regression No differences in clinical events
FATS [18]	Niacin (4 g/day) + colestipol (30 g/day)	48	52	↓23%	↓ 29 %	↓32%	†43%	Significant angiographic regression ↓80% Clinical events ^a (P <0.01)
HATS [19]	Niacin (2.4 g/day) + simvastatin (13 mg/day)	73	73	↓29%	↓34 <i>%</i>	↓40%	†18%	Significant angiographic regression ↓60% Clinical events ^a (P=0.02)
Stockholm [23]	Niacin (4.5 g/day) + clofibrate (1.5 g/day)	279	276	↓13%	↓19 <i>%</i>	NR	NR	↓36% ischemic heart disease mortality (P <0.01) ↓26% Total mortality (P <0.05)
CDP [2,3]	Niacin (3 g/day)	1119	2789	↓10%	↓26 <i>%</i>	NR	NR	↓27% Non-fatal myocardial infarction (z=-2.88) ↓11% Total mortality (P=0.0004)

T-C, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; CLAS, Cholesterol-Lowering Atherosclerosis Study; FATS, Familial Atherosclerosis Treatment Study; HATS, HDL Atherosclerosis Treatment Study; CDP, Coronary Drug Project; NR, not recorded. ^aCoronary death, stroke, revascularization, myocardial infarction, worsening ischemia.

ARBITER 2: Carotid Intima Media Thickness

Within-group Comparison



Intent-to-treat analysis of placebo > extended-release niacin, *P*=.048.

Taylor AJ et al. Circulation. 2004;110:3512-3517.

Coronary Drug Project Long-Term Mortality Benefit of Niacin in Post-MI Patients (8341 men)



Nicotinic Acid Receptor (GPR109A): Locations and Effects





1. Cheng K et al. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103:6682–6687.

2. Narumiya S et al. Physiol Rev. 1999;79:1193-1226.

3. Maciejewski-Lenoir D et al. J Invest Dermatol. 2006;126:2637–2646.

Properties of Laropiprant (MK-0524)

- Potent antagonist of DP_1 (not DP_2).
- Blocks PGD₂ binding without inhibiting PGD₂ synthesis
 - Functional potency at the platelet thromboxane A₂ receptor (TP) IC₅₀ 770 nM; at 40 mg dose no evidence of meaningful inhibition of platelet aggregation.
- At relevant systemic exposures, preclinical program did not reveal significant toxicities attributable to laropiprant
- In phase I and II studies, tested at doses up to 900 in single and 450 mg in multiple dose studies and up to 150 mg with niacin for up to 11 months and was well-tolerated

Phase III Data: ESC 9-07

Purpose:

- To evaluate the lipid-altering efficacy and flushing profile of ERN/LRPT administered as monotherapy
- or added to ongoing statin therapy in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia or mixed dyslipidemia.

Study Design

This was a worldwide, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel study with a 24-week double-blind treatment period. Endpoints: lipids, flushing and safety

Figure 1. Study Design



- Patients were randomized to ERN/LRPT 1g, ERN 1g or placebo in a 3:2:1 ratio (stratified by on-going statin use and study site).
- After 4 weeks, the active treatment doses were doubled, increasing the ERN/LRPT doses to 2g/40 mg (designated ERN/LRPT 2g) and ERN dose to 2g

Results (Lipid Efficacy)

ERN/LRPT 2g produced significantly (p<0.001) greater % reductions from baseline in LDL-C relative to placebo across weeks 12 to 24



Results (Lipid Efficacy)

ERN/LRPT 2g produced significantly (p<0.001) greater % changes from baseline in HDL-C & TG.



Efficacy of ERN/LRPT 2g was similar when administered alone or when added to ongoing statin therapy.

Results (Flushing Endpoints)

Initiation Phase of Therapy (Week 1):

• Patients treated with ERN/LRPT 1g experienced significantly (p<0.001) less flushing compared with patients treated with ERN 1g, as measured by maximum GFSS



Pooled Safety Profile

Methods

- Pooled data from 3 active or placebo controlled Phase 3 and 3 phase 2 one year extension studies
- 4747 patients exposed: ERN/LRPT (n=2548), ERN (1268) Simv/Pbo(931)

Pooled Safety Profile

Safety Parameter	SIMVA/Pbo N=931	ERN N=1268	ERN/LRPT N=2548
Drug-related* AEs (n [%])	156 (16.8)	501 (39.5)	901 (35.4) ^{1,2}
Drug-related* serious AEs (n [%])	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	8 (0.3) ^{3,4}
Discontinuations due to drug-related* AEs (n [%])	28 (3.0)	204 (16.1)	328 (12.9) ^{1,2}
Pre-specified parameters of interest:			
Confirmed adjudicated cardiovascular events (n/N [%])	3/931 (0.3)	5/1268 (0.4)	8/2548 (0.3) ^{5,6}
Consecutive or presumed consecutive ALT/AST elevations ≥3 x ULN (n/N [%])	8/920 (0.9)	6/1221 (0.5)	25/2465 (1.0) ^{5,6}
Drug-related hepatitis (n)	0	0	0
Myopathy** (n/N [%])	0	1/1221 (0.08)	1/2465 (0.04) ^{5,6}
CK elevations ≥10 x ULN (n/N [%])	2/920 (0.2)	2/1221 (0.2)	7/2465 (0.3) ^{5,6}
New onset diabetes [§] (n/N [%])	1/888 (0.1)	3/1094 (0.3)	12/2276 (0.5)5,6

AE-adverse events; SIMVA-simvastatin; Pbo-placebo; ERN-extended-release niacin; ERN/LRPT-extended-release niacin/naropiptant; ALT/AST-alanine aminotransferase and/or aspartate aminotransferase; ULN-upper limit of the second s

HPS2- THRIVE Study Overview

Objective:

To assess the effect of ER niacin/laropiprant 2 g/40 mg vs placebo on CV events, on a background of simvastatin 40 mg

Patient Population:

 20,000 high risk atherosclerosis patients (a) MI, (b) peripheral or cerebrovascular disease, (c) diabetes + atherosclerotic vascular disease. One third in category (c)

Primary Study Endpoints:

Major vascular events (MVE)



*Patients enter on a background of either simvastatin 40 mg or ezetimibe (EZ)/simvastatin 10/40 mg. ezetimibe/simvastatin 10/40 mg initiated at week -8 if TC levels >3.5 mmol/L (LDL-C 76 mg/dL)

ACS Patients







Apo A-1 Milano Phospholipid complex

JAMA Nov 5, 2003;290:2292

Anacetrapib

- Anacetrapib is an orally active, potent and selective CETP inhibitor.
- In preclinical models, anacetrapib consistently increased HDL-C concentrations with no observed effects on either blood pressure or heart rate and was well tolerated up to the maximal feasible dose.
- Preliminary studies in healthy subjects showed that single and multiple doses of anacetrapib for 2 weeks produced CETP inhibition and favorable HDL-C, LDL-C and apolipoprotein effects, and was generally well tolerated without an effect on blood pressure.

Percent Changes from Baseline in LDL-C



Monotherapy

Co-administration

Percent Change from Baseline in Apo B



Kostner, 2008

Co-administration

Percent Changes from Baseline in HDL-C



Monotherapy

Co-administration

Kostne<u>r, 2008</u>

Percent Change from Baseline in Apo A-I

Monotherapy



Kostner, 2008

Co-administration

Safety and Tolerability

- Anacetrapib as monotherapy and co-administered with atorvastatin was generally well tolerated.
- The incidences for all AE categories were similar across pooled treatment groups, with no dose response relationships.
- Most treatment-related AEs were mild or moderate, with constipation, diarrhea, dyspepsia and myalgia being the most common.
- There were no treatment-related serious AEs or deaths.
- Treatment-related discontinuations were rare and no patient discontinued due to serious treatment-related AEs.
- There were sparse and non-dose-related incidences of clinically important elevations in ALT, AST and CK.
- There were no hepatitis-related AEs, myopathy (unexplained muscle symptoms and CK elevations > 10 x upper limit of normal) or rhabdomyolysis.

Blood Pressure



Future Paradigm: Lower Targets, earlier and more specific Treatment (HDL, TG etc)



Risk Factors