TAVR in Low Risk Patients

Alan C. Yeung, MD Li Ka Shing Professor of Medicine Chief, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine Medical Director, Cardiovascular Services Stanford University School of Medicine



Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest

Within the past 12 months, I or my spouse/partner have had a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with the organization(s) listed below.

Affiliation/Financial Relationship

- Grant/Research Support
- Scientific Advisory Board
- Executive Physician Council

Company

- Edwards Lifesciences, Abbott
- Medtronic, Abbott
- Boston Scientific Corp



Provocative Questions to Address

Who Are These Patients?

- Will these patients be healthy 80 year olds or younger patients who wish to avoid surgery?
- How many patients enrolled will have asymptomatic aortic stenosis (e.g., high gradients or reduced LVEF)
- How will the STS PROM compare to the Heart Team Assessment of surgical risk? How many patients with an STS > 3% will be deemed low risk for surgery by experienced Heart Teams
- How will patients respond to randomization to surgery will there be drops out similar to earlier Intermediate Risk and High Risk Trials or will healthier patients accept surgery?

Evolut R Low Risk: Study Overview

Primary Objective	To demonstrate that the safety and effectiveness of the Evolut-R TAVR bioprosthesis is non-inferior to SAVR in patients with severe AS at low risk for SAVR
Patients	Subjects with severe AS and an MDT predicted risk of 30-day mortality < 3%
Study Design	 International, prospective, multicenter 1:1 randomization to either TAVR or SAVR

Evolut R Low Risk: Study Overview

Devices	 Investigational TAVR Arm Evolut R 23, 26, and 29 TAV, 31 mm CV Transitioned to Evolut PRO and 34 EvolutR Control Arm Any commercially available bioprosthesis 		
Number of Subjects	1300+ subjects, inclusive of nearly 400 subjects in LTI sub-study		
Scope	US and OUS Clinical Sites		

Evolut R Low Risk Patient Selection

Heart Tearn Evaluation Two Cardiac Surgeons and One Interventional Cardiologist Low Surgical Risk (predicted mortality risk <3%)

National Screening Committee One Cardiac Surgeons and One Interventional Cardiologist Confirm Low Risk for TAVR and SAVR



Provocative Questions to Address

How did the Screening Committee Contribute?

- Primary goal was to maintain protocol adherence by the clinical sites – every clinical document and all TTE/CT images reviewed by Screening Committee -- incredible oversight
- Controversial areas requiring consistency
 - Low gradients (< 25 mmHg)
 - Bicuspid aortic valve disease
 - Anatomy not suitable for TAVR

Evolut R Low Risk: Clinical Endpoints

Primary Endpoint	 All-cause mortality or disabling stroke at 2 years
Secondary Safety Endpoints	 Composite of death, disabling stroke, life- threatening bleed, major vascular events, or AKI (II or III) at 30 days New permanent pacemaker implantation at 30 days Prosthetic valve endocarditis at one year Prosthetic valve thrombosis at one year All stroke (disabling and non-disabling) at one year Life-threatening bleeding at one year Valve-related dysfunction -> repeat procedure at one year

Provocative Questions to Address

Secondary endpoints will drive the analysis

- A very detailed look at surgical valve performance (surgical valve sizing, residual gradients, TTE criteria for SVD at 10 years)
- How will functional status be affected by TAVR
 v. SAVR in healthier patients without frailties that delay surgical recovery?
- How frequent is leaflet thrombus immobility in both TAVR and SAVR patients – what are its implications?

What We Excluded . . .

- Bicuspid aortic valve
- Multivessel CAD with Syntax score >22 and/or unprotected left main
- Acute $MI \leq 30$ days prior to the procedure
- Severe MR or TR amenable to surgery
- Moderate or severe mitral stenosis
- Aortic annulus < 18 mm or > 30 mm
- Prohibitive LVOT calcification

Low Risk Exclusion Criteria: What's New?

"Prohibitive" LVOT Calcification No Clinical Equipose with SAVR





Low Risk Exclusion Criteria: What's New?

Bicuspid Aortic Valve Excluded



What is the Same with the US IDE Trials?

Best Practices

Enrollment Ended in CT LTI substudy



Aortography

- Post dilation for AR
- Early Discharge

Summary

- Arguably, this will be the last RCT with surgery in the TAVR portfolio for aortic stenosis patients – and will likely have the most profound implications for clinical care
- Communications within the Heart Team remains essential due to the simple fact that not all patients are optimal patients for TAVR (e.g., calcium, complex bicuspid, coronary artery disease and location)
- There is simply no room for error in Low Risk TAVR patients – meticulous care planning and anticipation of complications

Spring 2019

 Anticipated first data presentation ACC2019 by Dr. Michael Reardon

STS database 2002-2010 (141,905 pts)







PARTNER 3 Low-Risk Trial Study Design



Co - Pls: Martin B. Leon, MD and Michael J. Mack, MD

SHDS2018



PARTNER 3 Low-Risk Trial Enrollment Cadence



Primary Analysis: 1000 Patients enrolled March 25, 2016 – October 26, 2017 **CT Imaging Sub-study:** 435 Patients enrolled April 20, 2016 – March 16, 2018

SHDS2018



PARTNER 3 Low-Risk Trial Inclusion Criteria

Severe, Calcific Aortic Stenosis:

- AVA \leq 1.0 cm² or AVA index \leq 0.6 cm²/m²
- Jet velocity ≥ 4.0 m/s or mean gradient ≥ 40 mmHg <u>AND</u>
 - NYHA Functional Class $\geq 2 \frac{OR}{Class}$
 - Positive ETT <u>OR</u>
 - Asymptomatic with LVEF < 50%

Low Risk:

- Determined by multi-disciplinary Heart Team
- STS < 4%

Concomitant Procedures

 Same day or staged concomitant PCI allowed if approved and planned during case review





PARTNER 3 Low-Risk Trial Primary Endpoint (safety and effectiveness)

At 1 year, composite of:

- All-cause mortality
- All stroke
- Rehospitalization

Non-inferiority trial design:

- 90% power (N=1000)
- NI margin = 6%





PARTNER 3 Low-Risk Trial Key Secondary Endpoints

- Acute kidney injury
- Bleeding
- New-onset AF
- New Permanent pacemakers
- 6-minute walk distance
- QOL assessments (KCCQ)
- Cost-effectiveness (economic substudy)
- Hemodynamic changes and PVL (echo core lab)
- LOS (ICU and hospitalization)





PARTNER 3 Low-Risk Trial Final Thoughts

- "Real World" low-risk AS study population few exclusions
- Rigorous trial design with meaningful 1^{ry} endpoint and numerous important 2^{ry} endpoint
 heal
- Your THE FINAL FRONTIER
 10-y
- Important cases and registries serial CTAs (valve leaflet thickening/motion), bicuspid valve disease, underrepresented populations, and aortic/mitral ViV





PARTNER 3 Low-Risk Trial Final Thoughts

- "Real World" low-risk AS study population few exclusions
- Rigorous trial design with meaningful 1^{ry} endpoint and numerous important 2^{ry} endp

PRIMARY ENDPOINT RESULTS AT ACC 2019!

uspid valve disease, under-

represented populations, and aortic/mitral ViV







Longevity of Transcatheter and Surgical Bioprosthetic Aortic Valves in Patients with Severe Aortic Stenosis and Lower Surgical Risk

> Lars Sondergaard, MD, DMSc Professor of Cardiology Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark - On behalf of the NOTION trial investigators





Nordic Aortic Valve Intervention Trial the NOTION trial

Objective:	To compare TAVI vs. SAVR in lower risk patients <u>></u> 70 years
Primary outcome:	Composite rate of all-cause mortality, stroke or myocardial infarction at 1 year (VARC II-defined)
Secondary outcomes:	Safety, efficacy, and echocardiographic outcomes (VARC II-defined)
Design:	Prospective, multi-centre, non-blinded, randomised trial
Enrollment period:	December 2009 - April 2013



The NOTION Trial Baseline demographics^{*}

Characteristic, % or mean ± SD	Transcatheter N=145	Surgical N=135	p-value
Age (yrs)	79.2 ± 4.9	79.0 ± 4.7	0.71
Male	53.8	52.6	0.84
Society of thoracic surgeon's score (STS)	2.9 ± 1.6	3.1 ± 1.7	0.30
Logistic EuroSCORE	8.4 ± 4.0	8.9 ± 5.5	0.38
NYHA III or IV	48.6	45.5	0.61
Diabetes	17.9	20.7	0.55
Peripheral vascular disease	4.1	6.7	0.35
Prior stroke	6.2	9.6	0.29
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	11.7	11.9	0.97
Creatinine > 2 mg/dl	1.4	0.7	>0.99
Prior myocardial infarction	5.5	4.4	0.68
Prior percutaneous coronary intervention	7.6	8.9	0.69

*Intention-to-treat population

The NOTION Trial All-cause mortality



The NOTION Trial Aortic valve performance





The NOTION Trial Structural valve deterioration

